

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
STATEMENT OF PROCEEDINGS
REGULAR MEETING OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 14, 2000

MORNING SESSION:

Meeting was called to order at 9:05 a.m.

Present: Supervisors Dianne Jacob, Chairwoman; Ron Roberts, Vice Chairman; Greg Cox; Pam Slater; and Bill Horn; also Thomas J. Pastuszka, Clerk.

Approval of Board of Supervisors' Statement of Proceedings/Minutes for Meetings of May 3, 2000 and May 10, 2000.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors approved the minutes for the meetings of May 3, 2000 and May 10, 2000.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

ABSENT: Roberts

Public Communication
(No Speakers)

Board of Supervisors' Agenda Items

1. NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
AMENDMENTS TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE CONCERNING BED AND
BREAKFAST AND HOST HOME REGULATIONS; POD 99-01
2. NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
HEARING TO FORM AN UNDERGROUND UTILITY DISTRICT FOR RANCHO
SANTA FE ROAD, SAN MARCOS AREA
(CARRYOVER ITEM FROM 5/10/00, AGENDA ITEM NO. 17)
3. NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
RANCHO SANTA FE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT AMENDMENT TO THE
SANTA FE VALLEY SPECIFIC PLAN SPA 99-004 AND ZONE
RECLASSIFICATION R99-019, SAN DIEGUITO COMMUNITY PLANNING
AREA
4. NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
RIVERWAY SPECIFIC PLAN FOR THE UPPER SAN DIEGO RIVER
IMPROVEMENT PROJECT, GPA 99-03 SPA 00-002, R99-005, LOG NO. 98-10-14
(CARRYOVER ITEM FROM 3/22/00, AGENDA ITEM NO. 11)

5. NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
HEARING TO VACATE A PORTION OF LAKE MADERA COURT, VAC 99-010,
LAKESIDE COMMUNITY PLANNING AREA
(CARRYOVER ITEM FROM 5/16/00, AGENDA ITEM NO. 39)
6. NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
INTENT TO VACATE A REALIGNED PORTION OF MUTH VALLEY ROAD
(VAC 98-004), LAKESIDE COMMUNITY PLANNING AREA
(CARRYOVER ITEM FROM 5/10/00, AGENDA ITEM NO. 16)
7. NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
BOSTONIA CHURCH OF CHRIST PHASE 2 - PARKING LOT ZONE
RECLASSIFICATION; PEPPER DRIVE/BOSTONIA COMMUNITY PLANNING
AREA (R99-007)
8. NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
HEARING TO VACATE A PORTION OF SHADE ROAD, VAC 97-012, VALLE
DE ORO COMMUNITY PLANNING AREA
(CARRYOVER ITEM FROM 5/10/00, AGENDA ITEM NO. 15)
9. NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
MULTIPLE SPECIES CONSERVATION PROGRAM – APPROVAL OF
PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT FOR ACQUISITION OF THE DALEY
RANCH PROPERTY WITHIN THE HOLLENBECK CANYON PRESERVE
(CARRYOVER ITEM FROM 5/16/00, AGENDA ITEM NO. 14)
[FUNDING SOURCES ARE CAPITAL PROJECT KA9500, MULTIPLE SPECIES
CONSERVATION PROGRAM LAND ACQUISITIONS AND GENERAL FUND
CONTINGENCY RESERVE FUNDS]
(4 VOTES)
10. NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
RESOLUTIONS ORDERING THE DISSOLUTION OF ROAD COUNTY
SERVICE AREAS AND ESTABLISHING PERMANENT ROAD DIVISION
ZONES OF BENEFIT
[FUNDING SOURCE IS SPECIAL DISTRICTS FORMATION FUND]
11. RESOLUTION OF OPPOSITION TO THE PROPOSED EXPANSION OF BROWN
FIELD
THIS ITEM HAS BEEN SET FOR A 10:00 A.M.
TIME CERTAIN
12. TRAFFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COUNTY
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

13. CERTIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR VALLEY CENTER ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
[FUNDING SOURCE IS TRANSNET FUNDS]
14. ADVERTISEMENT AND AWARD OF A CONTRACT TO RESURFACE COLE GRADE ROAD, FROM FRUITVALE ROAD TO MILEPOST 5, IN VALLEY CENTER
[FUNDING SOURCES ARE FEDERAL TEA-21 AND GAS TAX FUNDS]
15. SAN DIEGO COUNTY TRANSIT SYSTEM SHORT RANGE TRANSIT PLAN, FISCAL YEAR 2000-2001 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT CLAIMS
16. ADVERTISE AND AWARD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT FOR CULVERT REPLACEMENT AT OTAY LAKES ROAD, IN THE VICINITY OF CHULA VISTA
[FUNDING SOURCE IS GAS TAX FUNDS]
17. ADVERTISE AND AWARD A CONTRACT FOR RUNWAY EXTENSION AT RAMONA AIRPORT
[FUNDING SOURCES ARE FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION AIRPORTS IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, CALIFORNIA AID TO AIRPORTS PROGRAM AND AIRPORT ENTERPRISE FUND]
18. ADVERTISE AND AWARD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT FOR HARNESS STREET IMPROVEMENTS IN SPRING VALLEY
[FUNDING SOURCES ARE GAS TAX AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUNDS]
19. REQUEST FOR VARIANCE FROM LOW PRESSURE SODIUM STREET LIGHTS FOR 4S RANCH PARKWAY, 4S RANCH AREA
[FUNDING SOURCE IS SAN DIEGO COUNTY STREET LIGHTING DISTRICT]
20. ACCEPTANCE OF FEDERAL FUNDING FOR DESIGN OF BOTH RIVERSIDE DRIVE BRIDGE SEISMIC RETROFIT AND BARRIER RAIL REPLACEMENT FOR THREE BRIDGES
[FUNDING SOURCES ARE FEDERAL HIGHWAY BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AND REHABILITATION FUNDS AND GAS TAX FUNDS]
21. APPROVAL OF AGREEMENT WITH BERRYMAN & HENIGAR, INC. FOR FALLBROOK CREEK FLOOD CONTROL STUDY AND DESIGN
[FUNDING SOURCE IS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS GENERAL FUND]
22. APPLICATIONS FOR FEDERAL AIRPORT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM GRANT AND STATE AIRPORT IMPROVEMENT MATCHING GRANT FOR GILLESPIE FIELD APPROACH EQUIPMENT

23. ADVERTISE AND AWARD A CONTRACT FOR SLURRY SEAL AT BORREGO VALLEY AIRPORT
[FUNDING SOURCES ARE FEDERAL AVIATION ASSOCIATION AIRPORTS IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, CALIFORNIA AID TO AIRPORTS PROGRAM AND AIRPORT ENTERPRISE FUND]
24. FALLBROOK COMMUNITY AIRPARK – AVIATION ENTERPRISES, LLC – NEW AVIATION LEASE
[FUNDING SOURCE IS AIRPORT ENTERPRISE FUND]
(4VOTES)
25. AGREEMENT WITH OVERFLOW CONSULTANT FIRM TO PROVIDE PLANNING AND PERMIT PROCESSING SERVICES ON AN AS-NEEDED BASIS
[FUNDING SOURCE IS PRIVATE DEVELOPER PERMIT AND LAND USE FEES AND DEPOSITS]
(4 VOTES)
26. AMENDMENTS TO THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE; POD 99-03, POD 99-04
27. PROPERTY TAX EXCHANGE FOR JURISDICTIONAL CHANGES: BARRATT AMERICAN, INC. REORGANIZATION (LAFCO REF. NO. RO 00-01)
28. WORKSPACE IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
29. USE AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO FOR HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE DISPOSAL
[FUNDING SOURCE IS NON-EXCLUSIVE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT FEE]
30. APPROVAL OF RESOLUTIONS AUTHORIZING SUBMITTAL OF GRANT APPLICATIONS UNDER THE NONPOINT SOURCE IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM AND THE LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM
[FUNDING SOURCES ARE NONPOINT SOURCE IMPLEMENTATION AND LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND GRANT PROGRAMS]
31. ESTABLISHMENT OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE DESIGN AND PREPARATION OF CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE LAKESIDE TEEN CENTER
[FUNDING SOURCE IS FISCAL YEAR 1999-2000 (25TH YEAR) COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUNDS]
(4 VOTES)

32. APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO JOINT AGREEMENT TO IMPROVE, RELEASE OF JOINT LIEN CONTRACT, TERMINATION OF JOINT HOLDING AGREEMENT, AND RESOLUTIONS ACCEPTING PREVIOUSLY REJECTED OFFERS OF DEDICATION FOR COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO TRACT NO. 4963-1, FINAL MAP 13735, LOCATED IN THE VALLE DE ORO COMMUNITY PLANNING AREA
33. TERMINATION OF AN IRREVOCABLE OFFER OF DEDICATION FOR ST. PETER'S DRIVE (VAC 99-011), FALLBROOK COMMUNITY PLANNING AREA
34. ADMINISTRATIVE ITEM:
APPROVAL OF A FINAL MAP AND SECURED AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC AND PRIVATE IMPROVEMENTS FOR COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO TRACT NO. 4784-1, LOCATED IN THE FALLBROOK COMMUNITY PLAN AREA
35. ADMINISTRATIVE ITEM:
APPROVAL OF A FINAL MAP AND SECURED AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC AND PRIVATE IMPROVEMENTS FOR COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO TRACT NO. 5150-1, LOCATED IN VALLEY CENTER PLANNING AREA
36. ADMINISTRATIVE ITEM:
APPROVAL OF A FINAL MAP AND SECURED AGREEMENTS FOR PUBLIC AND PRIVATE IMPROVEMENTS FOR COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO TRACT NO. 5123-1, LOCATED IN THE SAN DIEGUITO COMMUNITY PLANNING AREA

1. **SUBJECT: NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
AMENDMENTS TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE CONCERNING BED
AND BREAKFAST AND HOST HOME REGULATIONS; POD 99-01**
(Supv. Dist: All)

OVERVIEW:

On October 28, 1998 (9), the Board directed the Chief Administrative Officer to request input from planning and sponsor groups on suggestions from Julian business and community representatives to amend the County's Bed and Breakfast and host home zoning regulations. Bed and Breakfast facilities may have up to five rooms to be rented by guests, while Host Homes may have two rented rooms. Based upon a report to the Board on February 17, 1999 (11), regarding this survey, the Board directed the Chief Administrative Officer to bring to the Board for consideration the following amendments: 1) amend Section 6860 of The Zoning Ordinance to allow repairs or alterations to a Bed and Breakfast that cannot result in an increase in the number of customers and allowing a Bed and Breakfast permit to be processed in the same manner as other non-conforming non-residential uses; 2) allow the Bed and Breakfast operator to live on the premises but not in the actual Bed and Breakfast facility. An additional referral was made regarding a Bed and Breakfast facility on a private road easement, either with the two-thirds or a 100 percent agreement of the property owners, but that proposal was found to be infeasible.

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

BUSINESS IMPACT:

The amendments will allow Bed and Breakfast operators a greater flexibility in the internal design of their facilities while not increasing the number of bedrooms for customers.

RECOMMENDATION:

PLANNING COMMISSION:

Recommends that the Board of Supervisors:

1. Find that the proposed amendments are exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment.
2. Adopt the attached form of Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY ZONING
ORDINANCE RELATING TO CERTAIN REGULATIONS GOVERNING BED AND
BREAKFAST AND HOST HOME ESTABLISHMENTS

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

Concurs with the Planning Commission.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors closed the Hearing and took action as recommended, on Consent, adopting Ordinance No. 9156 (N.S.) entitled: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE RELATING TO CERTAIN REGULATIONS GOVERNING BED AND BREAKFAST AND HOST HOME ESTABLISHMENTS.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

2. **SUBJECT: NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
HEARING TO FORM AN UNDERGROUND UTILITY DISTRICT FOR
RANCHO SANTA FE ROAD, SAN MARCOS AREA**
(Carryover Item from 5/10/00, Agenda Item No. 17)
(Supv. Dist: 3,5)

OVERVIEW:

In 1987, County staff proposed to underground utilities along a portion of Rancho Santa Fe Road, from Melrose Drive to Island Drive. Formation of the necessary Underground Utility District was postponed the next year when the City of San Marcos annexed the road. The City will be widening Rancho Santa Fe Road later this year, and utility undergrounding is included as part of the project. The County is participating in this project because, although the road itself is now in the City, property owners affected by the conversion work are all within the unincorporated area.

The purpose of the Underground Utility District program is to improve the appearance of communities by undergrounding overhead utilities in areas of benefit to the general public. Board Policy J-17, Undergrounding of Existing Overhead Utility Facilities, establishes the policy and procedures for district formation and administration of the program.

Establishing the utility district is a two-step process. The first step in the formation process took place on May 10, 2000 (17), and involved adoption of a resolution of intention and setting the date for the public hearing. This request is the second step, which involves holding the public hearing and forming the Rancho Santa Fe Road Underground Utility District by adoption of an ordinance.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The utility companies will underground their facilities at their own expense. Funds for formation and administration of this district are budgeted in the General Fund. If approved, this request will result in a \$3,000 current year cost, no annual cost, and require the addition of no staff years.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

Adopt AN ORDINANCE ADDING SECTION 89.286 TO THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY CODE OF REGULATORY ORDINANCES TO FORM AN UNDERGROUND UTILITY DISTRICT FOR RANCHO SANTA FE ROAD, UNDERGROUND UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 85.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors closed the Hearing and took action as recommended, on Consent, adopting Ordinance No. 9157 (N.S.) entitled: AN ORDINANCE ADDING SECTION 89.286 TO THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY CODE OF REGULATORY ORDINANCES TO FORM AN UNDERGROUND UTILITY DISTRICT FOR RANCHO SANTA FE ROAD, UNDERGROUND UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 85.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

3. **SUBJECT: NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
RANCHO SANTA FE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT AMENDMENT
TO THE SANTA FE VALLEY SPECIFIC PLAN SPA 99-004 AND ZONE
RECLASSIFICATION R99-019, SAN DIEGUITO COMMUNITY
PLANNING AREA**
(Supv. Dist: 3)

OVERVIEW:

This is a request to amend the Santa Fe Valley Specific Plan to exchange, one for the other, the land use designations of the existing fire station and neighborhood park sites located on the west side of Four Gee Road north of the future Camino Del Norte (presently Artesian Road) in the San Dieguito Community Planning Area. Also requested is the addition of approximately 0.5 acre of land, presently designated as Open Space II, to the fire station site and a Zone Reclassification from S88 Specific Planning Area to S80 Open Space on the proposed park site and from S80 to S88 on the proposed fire station site.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Not applicable

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT:

Not applicable.

RECOMMENDATION:**PLANNING COMMISSION:**

1. Find that the Environmental Impact Report on file in the Department of Planning and Land Use and certified by the Board of Supervisors on December 13, 1995 (Log No. 95-8-21), has been completed in compliance with CEQA and state and County CEQA guidelines, that the decision-making body has reviewed and considered the information contained therein prior to approving the project, that the EIR reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the Board of Supervisors and

Find that there are no changes in the project or in the circumstances under which it is undertaken which involve significant new environmental impacts which were not considered in the previously certified Environmental Impact Report, or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects, and that no new information of substantial importance has become available since said Environmental Impact Report was prepared.

2. Adopt the attached Resolution of the San Diego County Board of Supervisors Approving Specific Plan Amendment SPA 99-004, Santa Fe Valley, approving the amendment (SPA 99-004) to the Santa Fe Valley Specific Plan which makes the appropriate findings and includes those requirements and conditions necessary to ensure that the project is implemented in a manner consistent with State law and the County General Plan.
3. Adopt the Ordinance approving a change from the S88 and S80 Use Regulations to the S80 and S88 Use Regulations with other designators as shown in the Ordinance.
4. Adopt the Multiple Species Conservation Program Conformance Findings.

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND LAND USE:

The Department concurs with the Planning Commission.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors closed the Hearing and took action as recommended, on Consent, adopting Resolution No. 00-164, entitled: RESOLUTION OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS APPROVING SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENT SPA 99-004, SANTA FE VALLEY, and adopting Ordinance No. 9158 (N.S.) entitled: AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING CLASSIFICATION OF CERTAIN PROPERTY R99-019.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

4. **SUBJECT: NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
RIVERWAY SPECIFIC PLAN FOR THE UPPER SAN DIEGO RIVER
IMPROVEMENT PROJECT, GPA 99-03 SPA 00-002, R99-005, LOG
NO. 98-10-14
(CARRYOVER ITEM FROM 3/22/00, AGENDA ITEM NO. 11)
(Supv. Dist: 2)**

OVERVIEW:

The project is a Specific Plan Amendment, General Plan Amendment of the Lakeside Community Plan and Circulation Element and a Zone Reclassification for the RiverWay Specific Plan in the Upper San Diego River Improvement Project in Lakeside. The project also includes amendments to The Zoning Ordinance, the Biological Mitigation Ordinance and the Resource Protection Ordinance to delete special exemptions that had been provided for this area.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT

The amendments to the Specific Plan will allow a greater range of uses than are currently allowed and will also allow open storage in the western portion of the project site. This allows a greater flexibility for the property owners while ensuring compatibility with uses outside the Specific Plan Area. The eastern end of the project area remains unchanged and is available to M54 uses.

RECOMMENDATION:

PLANNING COMMISSION:

1. Adopt the Resolution of the Board of Supervisors approving General Plan Amendment GPA 99-03 amending the Lakeside Community Plan and the Circulation Element, Lakeside Area, Sheet 4.
2. Adopt the Resolution of the Board of Supervisors amending the Upper San Diego River ("RiverWay") Specific Plan (SPA 00-002).
3. Approve Zone Reclassification R99-005 and adopt the Zone Reclassification Ordinance entitled:

**AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING CLASSIFICATION OF CERTAIN
REAL PROPERTY WITHIN THE LAKESIDE COMMUNITY PLANNING AREA**

4. Read title, waive further reading and introduce Ordinance for further Board consideration on June 21, 2000.

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE, THE
BIOLOGICAL MITIGATION ORDINANCE AND THE ZONING ORDINANCE,
RELATING TO THE UPPER SAN DIEGO RIVER IMPROVEMENT PROJECT**

5. Direct staff to develop a comprehensive, user-friendly reference document describing all appropriately related water quality permits, standards, performance standards, monitoring, management plans, and enforcement activities and responsibilities, and identifying the responsible parties and code enforcement mechanisms for the project area.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

Consider and provide general direction on the Planning Commission recommendations, and continue the item to a date to be determined at the hearing to allow the incorporation of the revisions into the Final EIR so action can be taken.

Alternative

Direct the Department to cease all action on this project and leave the currently adopted Specific Plan in place.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors continued the Hearing to August 9, 2000, 9:00 a.m. at the request of the Chief Administrative Officer, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

5. **SUBJECT: NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
HEARING TO VACATE A PORTION OF LAKE MADERA COURT,
VAC 99-010, LAKESIDE COMMUNITY PLANNING AREA
(CARRYOVER ITEM FROM 5/16/00, AGENDA ITEM NO. 39)
(Supv. Dist: 2)**

OVERVIEW:

This is a request to adopt a Resolution of Vacation to vacate a portion of Lake Madera Court in the community of Lakeside. Lake Madera Court was originally constructed to serve the Ham property (APN 397-070-39). The Ham property, however, is now dedicated open space and will not be developed. A residential subdivision has been developed south of the terminus of Lake Madera Court, which precludes Lake Madera Court from ever becoming a through street.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Not Applicable.

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT:

Not Applicable.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Find that the project as proposed is exempt from provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15305 of the CEQA Guidelines and Section 19.4 of County CEQA Guidelines.

2. Adopt a Resolution entitled Resolution Vacating a Portion of Lake Madera Court.
3. Direct the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to record this Resolution pursuant to Streets and Highways Code Section 8325.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors closed the Hearing and took action as recommended, on Consent, adopting Resolution No.00-165, entitled: RESOLUTION VACATING A PORTION OF LAKE MADERA COURT.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

6. **SUBJECT: NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:**
INTENT TO VACATE A REALIGNED PORTION OF MUTH VALLEY ROAD (VAC 98-004), LAKESIDE COMMUNITY PLANNING AREA
(CARRYOVER ITEM FROM 5/10/00, AGENDA ITEM NO. 16)
(Supv. Dist:)

OVERVIEW:

On May 10, 2000 (16) the Board set a public hearing to reconsider vacating a portion of Muth Valley Road. Previously, on February 17, 1999 (4), the Board adopted a Resolution of Vacation to vacate a portion of Muth Valley Road in the community of Lakeside (VAC 98-004). The vacation involved a 0.2 mile segment of Muth Valley Road that was realigned to create a new intersection with Wildcat Canyon Road. The surveying reference contained within the legal description of the approved vacation, however, was not accurate. This is a request for Board approval of a revised Resolution of Vacation that provides an accurate legal description for the segment of Muth Valley Road being vacated. An accurate legal description is required to record the vacation.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Not Applicable

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT:

Not Applicable

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Find that the project as proposed is exempt from provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15305 of the CEQA Guidelines.
2. Adopt a Resolution entitled Resolution Vacating a Realigned Portion of Muth Valley Road.
3. Direct the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to record this Resolution pursuant to Streets and Highways Code Section 8325.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors closed the Hearing and took action as recommended, on Consent, adopting Resolution No. 00-166, entitled: RESOLUTION VACATING A REALIGNED PORTION OF MUTH VALLEY ROAD.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

7. **SUBJECT: NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
BOSTONIA CHURCH OF CHRIST PHASE 2 - PARKING LOT ZONE
RECLASSIFICATION; PEPPER DRIVE/BOSTONIA COMMUNITY
PLANNING AREA (R99-007)**
(Supv. Dist: 2)

OVERVIEW:

The project proposes a Zone Reclassification of a 0.62-acre parcel owned by the Bostonia Church of Christ, Inc. from the RV15 Variable Family Residential to the S86 Parking Use Regulation to provide off-street parking for the Bostonia Church of Christ. Parking will be located off-site, across Sumner Avenue. The Zone Reclassification is required by a condition in the decision of the Major Use Permit Modification P74-155W² for the phased development. As part of Phase 2, a 62-space parking lot was proposed for the church expansion. The Land Use Designation is (8) Residential (14.5 dwelling units per gross acre) and the property is located within the Pepper Drive/Bostonia Community Planning Area. The General Plan Regional Category for the site is Current Urban Development Area. The project is located on the south side of Sumner Avenue between Crosby Street and Oro Street. The site address is 1277 Sumner Avenue.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Not applicable.

RECOMMENDATION:

PLANNING COMMISSION:

The Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors take the following actions:

1. Find that the Negative Declaration on file in the Department of Planning and Land Use as Log No. 99-14-026 has been adopted in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and state and County CEQA guidelines, that the decision making body has reviewed and considered the information contained therein prior to approving the project, that the Negative Declaration reflects the independent judgment of the Board of Supervisors and;

Find that there are no changes in the project or in the circumstances under which it is undertaken which involve significant new environmental impacts which were not considered in the previously adopted Negative Declaration or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects, and that no new information of

substantial importance has become available since the Negative Declaration was prepared.

2. Adopt the Ordinance approving a change from the RV15 (Variable Family Residential, 14.5 dwellings per acre) to the S86 (Parking) Use Regulations.

RECOMMENDATION:

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND LAND USE:

The Department concurs with the Planning Commission.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors closed the Hearing and took action as recommended, on Consent, adopting Ordinance No. 9159 (N.S.) entitled: AN ORDINANCE CHANGING THE ZONING CLASSIFICATION OF CERTAIN PROPERTY R99-007.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

8. **SUBJECT: NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
HEARING TO VACATE A PORTION OF SHADE ROAD, VAC 97-012,
VALLE DE ORO COMMUNITY PLANNING AREA
(CARRYOVER ITEM FROM 5/10/00, AGENDA ITEM NO. 15)
(Supv. Dist: 2)**

OVERVIEW:

Shade Road is a non-Circulation Element road in the Mt. Helix neighborhood of the Valle de Oro Community Planning Area. The northern segment of Shade Road serves nine homes. With support of all nine property owners, Mr. and Mrs. Michael K Sisson are requesting vacation of a portion of Shade Road north of a traffic barricade. The portion proposed for vacation serves abutting parcels and leads to a 40-foot easement which serves five interior parcels.

This is a request to adopt a Resolution of Vacation to vacate a portion of Shade Road. If approved, the vacated portion of Shade Road will change from a public road to private road. The portion of road to be vacated is an approximately 0.10 mile section extending south from Resmar Road to an existing County road barrier with a locking gate. Property owners taking access off the above portion of Shade Road have recently been granted private access easements. Existing utility easements will be preserved.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Not Applicable.

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT:

Not Applicable.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Find in accordance with Section 15061(b)(3) of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines that it can be seen with certainty there is no possibility the following action may have a significant effect on the environment.
2. Adopt the Resolution entitled Resolution Vacating a Portion of Shade Road.
3. Direct the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to record this Resolution pursuant to Streets and Highways Code Section 8325.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors closed the Hearing and took action as recommended, on Consent, adopting Resolution No. 00-167, entitled: RESOLUTION VACATING A PORTION OF SHADE ROAD.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

9. **SUBJECT: NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
MULTIPLE SPECIES CONSERVATION PROGRAM – APPROVAL OF
PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT FOR ACQUISITION OF THE
DALEY RANCH PROPERTY WITHIN THE HOLLENBECK CANYON
PRESERVE**
(CARRYOVER ITEM FROM 5/16/00, AGENDA ITEM NO. 14)
(Supv. Dist: 2)

OVERVIEW:

On July 21, 1999 (21), the Board adopted a Resolution authorizing the Department of Parks and Recreation to apply for grant funds for the purchase of property in the Metro-Lakeside-Jamul Subarea for the Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP). Hollenbeck Canyon is within the Metro-Lakeside-Jamul segment of the County's MSCP Subarea Plan, pre-approved mitigation area. The Daley Ranch Property is within the Hollenbeck Canyon Preserve and is one of two ownerships critical to the success of the MSCP in the Jamul area.

On May 16, 2000 (14), the Board directed the Clerk to publish the Notice of Intention to Purchase and set this matter for June 14, 2000 to consider the approval of the Purchase and Sale Agreement with the Lawrence A. Daley Trust. Today's request is for the approval of the Purchase and Sale Agreement and for the establishment of appropriations in the amount of \$500,000.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds for the initial \$1,000,000 purchase are budgeted. The funding source for \$500,000 of the purchase is Capital Project KA9500, Multiple Species Conservation Program land acquisitions. The remaining \$500,000 will be funded with General Fund Contingency Reserve Funds. The Contingency Reserve will be reimbursed from the 2000/2001 MSCP Program Resources. The purchase will result in a cost of \$1,000,000 in Fiscal Year 2000/2001, the potential for a cost of \$12,250,000 up to \$14,000,000 in future years, and the addition of no staff years.

RECOMMENDATION:**CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:**

1. Approve and authorize the transfer of \$500,000 of General Funds from the Contingency Reserve (Org. 1851, Acct. 6705) to Contributions to the Capital Outlay Fund (Org 5361, Acct 6310). (4 VOTES)
2. Approve and authorize the establishment of appropriations in the amount of \$500,000 in the Capital Outlay Fund for Capital Project KA9500, MSCP Acquisitions, based on an operating transfer from the General Fund. (4 VOTES)
3. Approve the Purchase and Sale Agreement for the Daley Ranch property and authorize the Clerk of the Board to execute two copies of the Agreement, upon receipt.
4. Authorize the Director, Department of General Services, or assignee, to execute all documents necessary to complete the initial purchase of Parcel No. 2000-0176-A consisting of 312.5 acres for \$1,000,000 and any future incremental purchases in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors closed the Hearing and took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

10. **SUBJECT: NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING:
RESOLUTIONS ORDERING THE DISSOLUTION OF ROAD COUNTY
SERVICE AREAS AND ESTABLISHING PERMANENT ROAD
DIVISION ZONES OF BENEFIT**
(Supv. Dist: 3)

OVERVIEW:

On August 30, 1999, Governor Davis signed into law Senate Bill 614, initiated by San Diego County. On February 9, 2000 (4) the Board established San Diego Countywide Permanent Road Division No 1000, the first of its kind in the State. These actions are the result of efforts directed at cutting red tape and saving property owners money. By transferring existing road County Service Areas into zones of benefit in Permanent Road Division No. 1000, the Board will allow these property owners to benefit from faster and cheaper annexations and detachments of properties, as well as fee free and fast dissolution when appropriate. All of

these transactions can be treated as administrative actions (compliant with Proposition 218) and County customers will not incur expense going through LAFCO and the State Board of Equalization – a savings of up to 80% for each action. During the lifetime of a PRD zone, anticipated savings per zone (for annexations, detachments and dissolutions) are conservatively estimated at between \$2,000 and \$10,000.

Today's request is to adopt a resolution to dissolve 58 road County Service Areas, along with a resolution to transfer responsibilities of these County Service Areas and their zones to the new Countywide Permanent Road Division No. 1000 (a successor agency) as zones of benefit.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds for this request are budgeted. Funding source is the Special Districts formation fund, which will be reimbursed subsequent to the dissolution. If approved, this request will result in a cumulative current year cost of approximately \$32,500 and will require no additional staff years.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Find, in accordance with Section 15061(b)(3) of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, it can be seen with certainty there is no possibility the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment.
2. Adopt a Resolution entitled Resolution of the Board of Supervisors Ordering Dissolution of Fifty-Eight (58) Road County Service Areas and Their Six Zones.
3. Adopt a Resolution entitled Resolution Establishing Sixty-four (64) Successor Agency Zones of Benefit in San Diego Countywide Permanent Road Division No. 1000.
4. Read title and waive further reading and introduce for adoption on June 21, 2000 the following Ordinances:
 - 1) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 6 – PAUMA VALLEY.
 - 2) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 8 – MAGEE ROAD.
 - 3) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 9B - HARRIS ANNEX.

- 4) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 10 – DAVIS DRIVE.
- 5) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 11A – BERNARDO LANE.
- 6) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 11C – FELICITA LANE/MORNINGSIDE.
- 7) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 11D – CIMARRON TERRACE.
- 8) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 12 – LOMAIR.
- 9) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 13A – PALA MESA.
- 10) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 13B – STEWART CANYON.
- 11) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 14 – RANCHO DIEGO.
- 12) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT

THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 16 – WYNOLA.

- 13) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 18 – HARRISON PARK.
- 14) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 20 – DAILY ROAD.
- 15) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 21 – PAUMA HEIGHTS.
- 16) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 22 – WEST DOUGHERTY STREET.
- 17) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 23 – ROCK TERRACE ROAD.
- 18) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 24 – MT. WHITNEY ROAD.
- 19) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 30 – ROYAL OAK/CARROLL LANE.

- 20) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 38 – GAY RIO TERRACE.
- 21) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 39 – SUNBEAM LANE.
- 22) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 45 – RINCON SPRINGS ROAD.
- 23) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 46 – ROCOSO ROAD.
- 24) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 49 – SUNSET KNOLLS ROAD.
- 25) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 50 – KNOLL PARK LANE.
- 26) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 53 – KNOLL PARK LANE EXTENSION.
- 27) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 54 – MT. HELIX.

- 28) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 55 – RAINBOW CREST.
- 29) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 60 – RIVER DRIVE.
- 30) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 63 – HILLVIEW ROAD.
- 31) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 64 – LILA LANE.
- 32) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 70 – EL CAMINO CORTO.
- 33) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 75A – GAY RIO DRIVE.
- 34) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 75B – GAY RIO DRIVE.
- 35) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 76 – KINGSFORD COURT.

- 36) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 77 – MONTIEL TRUCK TRAIL.
- 37) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 78 – GARDENA WAY.
- 38) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 80 – HARRIS TRAIL.
- 39) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 88 – EAST FIFTH STREET.
- 40) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 90 – SOUTH CORDOBA STREET.
- 41) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 94 – ROBLE GRANDE ROAD.
- 42) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 95 – VALLE DEL SOL.
- 43) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 99 – VIA ALLONDRA/VIA DEL CORVO.

- 44) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 100 – VIEJAS VIEW LANE.
- 45) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 101 – JOHNSON LAKE ROAD.
- 46) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 101A – HI RIDGE ROAD.
- 47) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 102 – MOUNTAIN MEADOW.
- 48) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 103 – ALTO DRIVE.
- 49) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 104 – ARTESIAN ROAD.
- 50) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 104A – ARTESIAN TRAIL.
- 51) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 105 – ALTA LOMA DRIVE.

- 52) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 105A – ALTA LOMA.
- 53) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 106 – GARRISON WAY.
- 54) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 117 – LEGEND ROCK.
- 55) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 123 – MIZPAH LANE.
- 56) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 125 – WRIGHTWOOD ROAD.
- 57) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 126 – SANDHURST WAY.
- 58) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 127 – SINGING TRAILS DRIVE.
- 59) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 130 – WILKES ROAD.

- 60) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 130A – SIERRA ROJO.
- 61) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 131 – MARLYNN COURT.
- 62) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 133 – RANCH CREEK ROAD.
- 63) AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING A PROCEDURE FOR FIXING AND COLLECTING CHARGES ON THE TAX ROLL FOR SERVICES AND CONTINUING IN EFFECT THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MAXIMUM CHARGE WITHIN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD NO. 1000, ZONE NO. 134 – KENORA LANE.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent, adopting Resolution No. 00-168, entitled: RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ORDERING DISSOLUTION OF FIFTY-EIGHT (58) ROAD COUNTY SERVICE AREAS AND THEIR SIX (6) ZONES, and RESOLUTION NO. 00-169, ENTITLED: RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING SIXTY-FOUR (64) SUCCESSOR AGENCY ONES OF BENEFIT IN SAN DIEGO COUNTYWIDE PERMANENT ROAD DIVISION NO. 1000, introducing Ordinances for further Board consideration on June 21, 2000.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

11. **SUBJECT: RESOLUTION OF OPPOSITION TO THE PROPOSED EXPANSION OF BROWN FIELD**
(Supv. Dist: 1)

OVERVIEW:

The City of San Diego, as the airport operator and local land use agency, entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with the San Diego Air Commerce Center in 1996, a private aviation facility developer, to redevelop the existing San Diego Brown Field Municipal Airport into a full service air cargo facility and commercial development. Redevelopment would include eastward expansion of the runway, with significant effect on the adjacent unincorporated territory. Provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding required the applicant to prepare an

Airport Master Plan, a Comprehensive Land Use Plan, and all supporting environmental documents. The County has received and reviewed these documents and find that the project will have detrimental impacts to the East Otay Mesa Specific Plan Area. This proposed Board position would be to recommend opposition to the project, and in the event the San Diego City Council approves the project, for the County to hold a public hearing pursuant to the California Public Utilities Code that allows the Board to approve or disapprove the project since it includes the acquisition of unincorporated land.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Not applicable.

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT:

There is a regional need for an air cargo airport, and the applicant has stated that this project would add \$750 million annually in economic benefits to the region (including 11,000 jobs). However, this expansion presents major adverse impacts to the East Otay Mesa Area, whose development is expected have even more significant long-term economic benefits. Additionally, noise impacts to already-developed areas in the South Bay could lower property values.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Adopt the Form of Resolution entitled: RESOLUTION OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IN OPPOSITION TO THE EXPANSION OF BROWN FIELD.
2. Direct the Chief Administrative Officer to provide testimony at San Diego City Council meetings.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors continued this item to July 26, 2000, at the request of the Chief Administrative Officer, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

12. **SUBJECT: TRAFFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**
(Supv. Dist: 2, 3, 5)

OVERVIEW:

The Traffic Advisory Committee (TAC) meets every six weeks to review proposed changes or additions to traffic controls. Thirty-two items were on the Committee's April 14, 2000 meeting agenda (a 33rd item, L, was deleted from the agenda prior to the meeting). The Committee recommends your action on 27 items, as five items (A, B, H, U1 and U2) were continued prior to the TAC meeting. Of the 27 items, 18 are recommended for adoption. The Committee

recommends non-adoption or alternative action for six items (G, M, N1, N2, S, and V), and continuance of three items (F, J and O).

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds for this proposal are budgeted in the Department of Public Works Road Fund.

RECOMMENDATION:

TRAFFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE:

Consider and file report including the following recommendations:

- A. Elfin Forest Road between Harmony Grove Road and San Marcos City Limit, ELFIN FOREST-The issue of establishing a seven ton weight limit was continued prior to the meeting at the request of the San Dieguito Community Planning Group.
- B. Elfin Forest Road between Harmony Grove Road and San Marcos City Limit, ELFIN FOREST-The issue of reducing the existing 50 MPH speed limit was continued prior to the meeting at the request of the San Dieguito Community Planning Group.
- C. Lazy S Drive and Pointing Rock Drive, BORREGO SPRINGS-Replace existing yield controls with stop controls for east and west bound motorists on Pointing Rock Drive.
- D. Pointing Rock Drive and Yaqui Road, BORREGO SPRINGS-Establish yield controls for north and south bound motorists on Yaqui Road.
- E. Bonita Bluffs Court and Paseo Iglesia/Avenida Anquila, SPRING VALLEY-Establish a stop control for westbound motorists on Bonita Bluffs Court.
- F. Mission Road from a point 450 feet east of Stage Coach Lane easterly to Old Highway395, FALLBROOK-Continue the issue of reducing the existing 45 MPH speed limit to the June 2nd meeting of the Traffic Advisory Committee.
- G. Old Castle Road from Champagne Boulevard easterly 1.5 miles, VALLEY CENTER-Do not reduce the existing 50 MPH speed limit. Refer to the Department of Public Works' Neighborhood Traffic Calming Coordinator to explore various ways of reducing the speed of motorists.
- H. Farmer Road and Wynola Street, JULIAN-Issue of establishing regulatory controls was continued prior to the meeting at the request of the Julian Community Planning Group.
- I. Helix Street, west side, from a point 710 feet south of Harness Street southerly 40 feet, SPRING VALLEY-Establish a parking prohibition.
- J. Peutz Valley Road, both sides, from the bridge at Interstate 8 northerly one mile, ALPINE-Continue issue of establishing a parking restriction to the June 2nd meeting of the Traffic Advisory Committee.
- K. Blossom Lane, south side, from Folkestone Street easterly 130 feet, SPRING VALLEY-Establish a parking prohibition between 6:30 AM and 3 PM on school days.
- M. Marilla Drive/Riverview Avenue and Emerald Grove Avenue, LAKESIDE-Do not establish an all-way stop control.

- N1. Raymond Avenue and Hunter Street, RAMONA-Do not establish an all-way stop control.
- N2. Raymond Avenue and Hunter Street, RAMONA-Do not replace yield controls for east and west bound motorists on Raymond Avenue with stop controls. Install yield pavement legends and limit lines adjacent to the signs.
- O. Gum Tree Lane between Hamilton Lane and Live Oak Park Road, FALLBROOK-Continue issue of establishing a formal speed limit to the June 2nd Traffic Advisory Committee meeting.
- P. Birdie Drive and Foursome Drive/Golf Drive, SPRING VALLEY-Establish a yield control for northbound motorists on Foursome Drive.
- Q. Broadway, south side, from Fairway Drive easterly 80 feet, SPRING VALLEY-Establish a parking prohibition.
- R. Victoria Drive, west side, from Lindsay Michelle Drive northerly to Old Stage Coach Run, ALPINE-Establish a parking prohibition.
- S. South Barcelona Street and Buena Vista Drive, SPRING VALLEY-Do not establish an all-way stop control. Suggest CalTrans explore cutting back embankment on southeast corner and relocating chain link fence.
- T1. Mission Road between Iowa Street and Industrial Way, FALLBROOK-Amend an existing speed limit ordinance.
- T2. Camino Monte Sombra, both sides, from a point 220 feet south of Calle De La Siena southerly to end of County-maintained road, EL CAJON-Establish a parking prohibition.
- T3. Buckman Springs Road at Buckman Springs Road "Y", CAMERON CORNERS-Replace yield control for northbound motorists on Buckman Springs Road with a stop control.
- T4. Blossom Lane, north side, from a point 240 feet west of Sweetwater Road westerly to a point 120 feet east of Folkestone Street and on the south side, from a point 260 feet west of Sweetwater Road westerly to a point 170 feet east of Folkestone Street, SPRING VALLEY-Amend an existing parking prohibition between 6:30 AM and 3:00 PM on school days.
- T5. Deer Springs Road and Mesa Rock Road, TWIN OAKS-Legalize a newly-constructed traffic signal.
- T6. East Vista Way and Osborne Street, BONSALL-Legalize a newly-constructed traffic signal.
- T7. Gopher Canyon Road and Old Highway 395, BONSALL-Legalize a newly-constructed traffic signal.
- T8. Cristianitos Road, south side, from the I-5 northbound off-ramp easterly 80 feet and from a point 20 feet west of the west line of El Camino Real easterly to the end and on

the north side, from El Camino Real westerly 50 feet, SAN CLEMENTE-Amend an existing parking prohibition.

- T9. El Camino Real, east side, from Cristianitos Road northerly 40 feet and on the west side, from Cristianitos Road northerly 40 feet, SAN CLEMENTE-Establish a parking prohibition.
- T10. El Camino Real, east side, from a point 700 feet north of Cristianitos Road northerly 140 feet, SAN CLEMENTE-Establish a bus loading zone.
- U1. Via Cuatro Caminos and Siete Leguas, RANCHO SANTA FE-Issue of establishing an all-way stop control was continued prior to the meeting at the request of the requester.
- U2. Via Cuatro Caminos and Noche Tapatia, RANCHO SANTA FE-Issue of establishing an all-way stop control was continued prior to the meeting at the request of the requester.
- V. Kelly Avenue and Hunter Street, RAMONA-Do not replace existing yield controls for east and west bound motorists on Kelly Avenue with stop controls. Attempt to modify grading on the unpaved approaches on Kelly Avenue.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

- 1. Find pursuant to Section 15301 (c) of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines that the following actions are exempt from environmental review since they are part of operation of existing streets.
 - No. 300 (Item T10)
 - No. 301 (Items I, K, Q, R, T2, T4, T8 and T9)
 - No. 303 (Item T5, T6 and T7)
 - No. 304 (Items C, E, T3)
 - No. 306 (Items C, D, P and T3)
- 4. Read title and waive further reading of the following Ordinance and introduce for further consideration and adoption on June 21, 2000.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 72.169.5. OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY CODE RELATING TO TRAFFIC REGULATIONS IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO (Item T1)

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent, making amendments to the following items:

Item I, directed the Chief Administrative Officer to work with the requester to investigate the possibility of extending the parking prohibition further than the Traffic Advisory Committee is recommending and return to the Board with a recommendation.

Item N2, directed the Chief Administrative Officer to replace the existing yield signs at the intersection of Raymond avenue and Hunter Street with stop signs and report back to the Board in six months on the effectiveness of this change and to review the speed limit on Raymond Avenue.

Item Q, directed the Chief Administrative Officer to implement a parking prohibition on the south side of Broadway extending 275 feet to the east of Fairway Drive instead of 80 feet as recommended by the Traffic Advisory Committee.

Item V, directed the Chief Administrative Officer to replace the existing yield signs at the intersection of Kelly Avenue and Hunter Street with stop signs and to report back to the Board in six months in the effectiveness of this change; and adopting the following Resolutions entitled:

- | | |
|--------|---|
| 00-170 | TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2509-RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 301 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NO STANDING OR PARKING ZONES IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO |
| 00-171 | TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2510-RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 301 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NO STANDING OR PARKING ZONES IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO |
| 00-172 | TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2511-RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 301 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NO STANDING OR PARKING ZONES IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO |
| 00-173 | TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2512-RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 301 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NO STANDING OR PARKING ZONES IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO |
| 00-174 | TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2513-RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 301 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NO STANDING OR PARKING ZONES IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO |
| 00-175 | TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2514-RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 301 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NO STANDING OR PARKING ZONES IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO |

- 00-176 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2515-RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 301 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NO STANDING OR PARKING ZONES IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-177 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2516- RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 301 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NO STANDING OR PARKING ZONES IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-178 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2517- RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 301 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NO STANDING OR PARKING ZONES IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-179 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2518-RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 300 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF LOADING ZONES IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-180 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2519-RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 303 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-181 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2520- RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 303 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-182 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2521- RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 303 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-183 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2522-RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 304 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF STOP INTERSECTIONS IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-184 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2523- RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 304 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF STOP INTERSECTIONS IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-185 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2524-RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 306 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF YIELD RIGHT-OF-WAY INTERSECTIONS IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-186 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2525- RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 306 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF YIELD RIGHT-OF-WAY INTERSECTIONS IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

- 00-187 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2526-RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 304 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF STOP INTERSECTIONS IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-188 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2527- RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 306 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF YIELD RIGHT-OF-WAY INTERSECTIONS IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-189 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2528- RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 306 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF YIELD RIGHT-OF-WAY INTERSECTIONS IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-190 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2529-RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 301 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NO STANDING OR PARKING ZONES IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-191 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2540 - RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 306 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF YIELD RIGHT-OF-WAY INTERSECTIONS IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-192 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2551 - RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 304 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF STOP INTERSECTIONS IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-193 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2547 - RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 306 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF YIELD RIGHT-OF-WAY INTERSECTIONS IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
- 00-194 TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 2552 - RESOLUTION AMENDING TRAFFIC RESOLUTION NO. 304 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF STOP INTERSECTIONS IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; and introduced Ordinance for further Board consideration on June 21, 2000.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

13. **SUBJECT: CERTIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR VALLEY CENTER ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT**
(Supv. Dist: 5)

OVERVIEW:

On April 12, 2000 (3), the Board of Supervisors approved the TransNet Program and the County of San Diego Element of the Regional Transportation Improvement Program, which included the Valley Center Road Improvement Project.

The project proposes to widen a 5.96-mile portion of Valley Center Road from 0.51 miles south of the City of Escondido boundary, north and east to 0.12 miles east of the Valley Center Road

intersection with Cole Grade Road. The existing two- and three-lane road would be upgraded to four lanes with median and bike lanes. The project will enhance safety and improve traffic flow.

This is a request to certify the project's Final Environmental Impact Report and adopt a Mitigation Monitoring Program and Statement of Overriding Considerations to initiate property negotiation and acquisition for the project.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds for mitigation associated with this request will be budgeted in subsequent and future years. Funding source is TransNet funds. Certification of the Environmental Impact Report for the Valley Center Road Improvement project will have no current cost, an estimated \$300,000 subsequent year cost related to mitigation efforts outlined in the Mitigation Monitoring Program, and will require no additional staff years.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Certify that the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) dated February 2000, State Clearinghouse Number 1999021081, was completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), review and consider the information contained therein, find the EIR, along with Findings Concerning Significant Effects, reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the Board of Supervisors.
2. Adopt the Statement of Overriding Considerations.
3. Adopt the Mitigation Monitoring Program prepared in accordance with Public Resources Code, Section 21081.6, and authorize the Director, Department of Public Works, to ensure compliance with the Mitigation Monitoring Program.
4. Authorize the Director, Department of Public Works, to initiate property negotiation and acquisition for the project.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

14. **SUBJECT: ADVERTISEMENT AND AWARD OF A CONTRACT TO RESURFACE COLE GRADE ROAD, FROM FRUITVALE ROAD TO MILEPOST 5, IN VALLEY CENTER**
(Supv. Dist: 5)

OVERVIEW:

On June 15, 1999 (19), the Board approved an amendment to the TransNet Program and Regional Transportation Improvement Plan for Fiscal Years 1998/99 through 2003/04, which included six new road pavement rehabilitation projects funded with \$2.9 million in federal

Transportation Enhancement Act-21 (TEA 21) funds. One of these projects was resurfacing of Cole Grade Road in Valley Center, from Fruitvale Road to Milepost 5. The Department of Public Works has scheduled the project for Fall 2000. Resurfacing the roadway will restore its structural integrity and prevent future costly road repair.

This is a request to adopt a resolution approving execution of a program supplement to allow acceptance of federal funding and to approve advertisement and subsequent award of a contract to resurface 4.35 miles of Cole Grade Road in Valley Center.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds for Cole Grade Road Resurfacing are budgeted in the Department of Public Works Detailed Work Program. Funding sources are federal TEA-21 (\$1,100,000) and Gas Tax (\$150,000). If approved, this request will result in \$1,250,000 current year cost, no annual cost, and require no additional staff years.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Find in accordance with Section 15301 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines that this project is categorically exempt from provisions of the Guidelines since it consists of maintenance of existing facilities with no increase in capacity.
2. Adopt a Resolution of the Board of Supervisors Approving Execution of a Program Supplement for Cole Grade Road Resurfacing Project.
3. Authorize the Deputy Director, Purchasing and Contracting Division of General Services, to take any action authorized by Section 398.17.3(a) of the Administrative Code with respect to contracting for the subject public works project.
4. Designate the Director, Department of Public Works, as County Officer responsible for administering the contract.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent, adopting Resolution No. 00-195, entitled: RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS APPROVING EXECUTION OF A PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT FOR RESURFACING OF COLE GRADE ROAD PROJECT.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

15. **SUBJECT: SAN DIEGO COUNTY TRANSIT SYSTEM SHORT RANGE TRANSIT PLAN, FISCAL YEAR 2000-2001 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT CLAIMS**
(Supv. Dist: All)

OVERVIEW:

During the next fiscal year, County Transit System will serve over 5.2 million passengers using over 140 buses. All County transit services are provided through contracts with outside

organizations. By contracting services, the County controls costs while meeting residents' needs in unincorporated areas and contract cities. Each year, the County submits a plan, claims and contracts for transit services, facilities and equipment.

The recommended actions described in this letter authorize: A) Submittal of the FY 2001-2005 Short-Range Transit Plan to the San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) and the Metropolitan Transit Development Board (MTDB); B) Submittal of Transportation Development Act claims to fund services, facilities and equipment; and C) Execution of various transit contracts and actions necessary to carry out the Short Range Transit Plan.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds for this request are included in the FY 2000-01 Operational Plan. Funds for the claim and contract actions are available from FY 2000-01 Transit Development Act monies of \$12,687,164 and monthly pass revenues of \$1,851,271 available to the County and cities served. If approved, this request will result in FY 2000-01 cost of \$14,538,435, and will require no additional staff years.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Approve the FY 2001-2005 Short-Range Transit Plan and authorize submittal to SANDAG and MTDB for inclusion in the Regional Transportation Plan.
2. Approve the FY 2000-2001 San Diego County Transit System Transportation Development Act claims in the amount of \$12,687,164 for submittal to SANDAG and MTDB.
3. Approve and authorize the Clerk of the Board to execute, upon receipt, the following FY 2000-2001 contract cities and MTDB amendments to agreements pertaining to County provision of services for not-to-exceed amounts indicated:

<u>Agency/City</u>	<u>Contract #</u>	<u>Amount</u>
a) City of El Cajon	37312	\$ 1,266,836
b) City of La Mesa	37313	158,604
c) City of Lemon Grove	37314	149,469
d) City of Poway	37316	1,186,778
e) City of Santee	37315	747,578
f) MTDB	37317	<u>49,213</u>
Total		\$ 3,558,478

4. Approve and authorize the Clerk of the Board to execute, upon receipt, the following FY 2000-2001 agreements allowing MTDB and Chula Vista Transit to claim County Transportation Development Act funds for transit services:

<u>Agency</u>	<u>Contract #</u>	<u>Amount</u>
a) MTDB	75301	\$ 330,932
b) Chula Vista Transit	75302	<u>127,830</u>
		\$ 458,762

5. Approve and authorize the Clerk of the Board to execute, upon receipt, FY 2000-01 agreements/amendments with the following organizations:

<u>Agency</u>	<u>Contract #</u>	<u>Amount</u>
a) San Diego Transit/ Telephone Information	N/A	\$ 66,344
b) MTDB Uniform Fare Structure (Revenue)	N/A	<2,142,801>
c) Northeast Rural Bus System, Inc. (sole source)	37318	139,076
d) Southeast San Diego Senior Citizens Program Board (sole source)	37319	157,375

6. Approve and authorize the Clerk of the Board to execute two copies of an agreement between the County of San Diego and MTDB for operation of Americans with Disabilities Act Paratransit Service within the MTDB service area, and for funding and provision of Paratransit vehicles to County Transit System.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

16. **SUBJECT: ADVERTISE AND AWARD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT FOR CULVERT REPLACEMENT AT OTAY LAKES ROAD, IN THE VICINITY OF CHULA VISTA**
(Supv. Dist: 1)

OVERVIEW:

Storm runoff is conveyed across Otay Lakes Road, north of a glider airport, via two 48-inch corrugated metal pipes (CMP) 25 feet below street grade. After years of service in a highly corrosive and abrasive environment, these pipes have deteriorated and are now in need of replacement. The new 66" pipe will provide headwalls to better direct flood flow, increasing capacity.

This is a request to approve advertisement and subsequent award of a contract to replace the two culverts with one 66" concrete pipe with headwalls.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds for this proposal are budgeted in the Department of Public Works Detailed Work Program. Funding source is Gas Tax Funds. If approved, this request will result in \$125,000 current year cost, no annual cost, and require no additional staff years.

RECOMMENDATION:**CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:**

1. Find in accordance with Section 15302 (c) of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines that this project is categorically exempt from provisions of the guidelines since it consists of replacement or reconstruction of existing facilities involving negligible or no expansion of capacity.
2. Authorize the Deputy Director, Purchasing and Contracting Division of General Services, to take any action authorized by Section 398.17.3(a) of the Administrative Code with respect to contracting for the subject public works project.
3. Designate the Director, Department of Public Works, as the County Officer responsible for administering the contract.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

17. **SUBJECT: ADVERTISE AND AWARD A CONTRACT FOR RUNWAY
EXTENSION AT RAMONA AIRPORT**
(Supv. Dist: 2)

OVERVIEW:

On September 22, 1998 (1), the Board certified a Final Impact Report and Environmental Assessment for Ramona Airport Improvement project, including a runway extension necessary to accommodate larger C-130 U.S. Forest Service tankers. Ramona Airport serves as a fire attack base, and the U.S. Forest Service plans to use C-130s to carry heavier loads of fire retardant to strengthen its ability to fight fires in San Diego County.

The proposed project consists of extending the runway and main taxiway 1,000 feet, and includes drainage, lighting, signage, and striping improvements. It also includes relocation of an existing taxiway, addition of a 15,000 square-foot run-up area at the approach end of runway 27, and overlay of the existing runway and taxiways to strengthen pavement to accommodate heavier aircraft.

Upon Board approval a construction contract will be advertised and subsequently awarded to the lowest responsible bidder for runway extension at Ramona Airport.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds for this proposal are budgeted in Airport Enterprise Fund. Funding sources are Federal Aviation Administration Airports Improvement Program (\$3,375,000), California Aid to Airports Program (\$168,750) and Airport Enterprise Fund (\$206,250). If approved, this request will result in \$3,750,000 (contract plus contingency) current year cost, no annual cost, and will require no additional staff years.

RECOMMENDATION:**CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:**

1. Find that the Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Assessment (EIR/EA) for the Ramona Airport Improvement Project, SCH No. 98051020, on file in the Department of Public Works has been completed in compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and State and County CEQA Guidelines, that the decision-making body has reviewed and considered the information contained therein prior to approving the project, and that the EIR/EA reflects the independent judgement and analysis of the Board of Supervisors, and:

Find that there are no changes in the project or in the circumstances under which it is undertaken which involve significant new environmental impacts which were not considered in the previously certified EIR/EA, dated September 1998, or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects, and that no new information of substantial importance has become available since said EIR/EA was prepared.

2. Authorize the Deputy Director, Purchasing and Contracting Division of General Services, to take any action authorized by Section 398.17.3(a) of the Administrative Code with respect to contracting for the subject public works project.
3. Designate the Director, Department of Public Works, as County Officer responsible for administering the contract.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

18. **SUBJECT: ADVERTISE AND AWARD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT FOR HARNESS STREET IMPROVEMENTS IN SPRING VALLEY**
(Supv. Dist: 5)

OVERVIEW:

Improvements planned for Harness Street, a steeply graded, unimproved roadway, include construction of concrete curbs, gutters, sidewalks, and retaining walls. Approximately 350 feet of Harness Street, extending east of Presioca Avenue, will be widened to 32 feet and paved to accommodate these improvements. The project is included in the Department of Public Works

Detailed Work Program, and will improve pedestrian and vehicular safety and substantially enhance the neighborhood's appearance.

Upon Board approval, construction of the Harness Street Improvement project will be advertised and subsequently awarded to the lowest responsible bidder.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds for this proposal are budgeted in the Department of Public Works Fiscal Year 1999-00 Detailed Work Program. Budgeted funding sources total \$240,000 and are Gas Tax (\$75,000) and Community Development Block Grant Funds (\$165,000). If approved, this request will result in current year cost of \$240,000, no annual cost and will require no additional staff years.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Find pursuant to Section 15301(c) of the State of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines that this project is categorically exempt from CEQA because the project consists of improvements that will not expand the capacity of the street.
2. Authorize the Deputy Director, Purchasing and Contracting Division of General Services, to take any action authorized by Section 398.17.3(a) of the Administrative Code with respect to contracting for subject public works project.
3. Designate the Director, Department of Public Works, as County Officer responsible for administering the contract.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

19. **SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR VARIANCE FROM LOW PRESSURE SODIUM STREET LIGHTS FOR 4S RANCH PARKWAY, 4S RANCH AREA**
(Supv. Dist: 3)

OVERVIEW:

On May 9, 1984 (14), the Board directed the Department of Public Works to require all new County-owned street lights to be outfitted with low pressure sodium luminaires. The main reason for this decision was that local astronomical observatories could more easily filter out low pressure sodium light than other light sources. The developer of 4S Ranch subdivision is requesting a variance from this requirement to allow installation of decorative fixtures along 4S Ranch Parkway, which is designed as a pedestrian friendly parkway with extensive landscaping, streetscaping and linear parks.

This is a request to waive the requirement for use of low pressure sodium street lights along 4S Ranch Parkway.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funding source for street lighting is the San Diego County Street Lighting District. If approved, this request will result in no current year cost, no annual cost, and require the addition of no staff years.

RECOMMENDATION:**CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:**

1. Find, in accordance with Section 15061(b)(3) of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, that there is no possibility the following action may have a significant effect on the environment and is therefore not subject to CEQA.
2. Waive the requirement for use of low pressure sodium street lights along 4S Ranch Parkway.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

20. **SUBJECT: ACCEPTANCE OF FEDERAL FUNDING FOR DESIGN OF BOTH RIVERSIDE DRIVE BRIDGE SEISMIC RETROFIT AND BARRIER RAIL REPLACEMENT FOR THREE BRIDGES**
(Supv. Dist: 2,5)

OVERVIEW:

The federal government has a replacement and rehabilitation program to provide funding assistance for eligible bridges located on public roads. The state distributes this funding by determining which bridges throughout the state are in need of replacement or rehabilitation. Riverside Drive Bridge over Sweetwater River in Descanso is eligible for federal Seismic Retrofit funding under this program. Additionally, Lilac Road Bridge over south fork of Keys Creek, Willows Road Bridge over Viejas Creek and Riverside Drive Bridge are eligible for Barrier Rail Replacement with federal funding. Bridge improvements will enhance safety for the traveling public.

This is a proposal to adopt resolutions accepting federal program supplements for Seismic Retrofit of Riverside Drive Bridge and Barrier Rail Replacement for three bridges: Lilac Road, Willows Road and Riverside Drive. Funding supplements are for design, environmental and right-of-way engineering costs. Upon Board approval, the projects will be advertised and a design consultant selected in accordance with Board Policy F-40, Procuring Architectural, Engineering and Related Professional Services. A design agreement will be submitted to the Board for approval in Summer 2000.

FISCAL IMPACT:

This request will have no current year fiscal impact. Both the Barrier Rail Replacement Projects and the Riverside Drive Bridge Seismic Retrofit Project are in the proposed Public Works Fiscal Year 2000-01 Detailed Work Program. Funding sources for each project are as follows:

1. Seismic Retrofit Project: Federal Highway Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Funds (\$244,000) and Gas Tax Funds (\$61,000). Together, these amounts total \$305,000 for design, environmental and right-of-way costs. Total project cost is estimated at \$740,000. Acceptance of a federal program supplement for Riverside Drive Bridge Seismic Retrofit Project will have no fiscal impact until the project is underway.
2. Barrier Rail Replacement Projects: Federal Highway Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Funds (\$199,191) and Gas Tax Funds (\$25,809). Together, these amounts total \$225,000 for design, environmental and right-of-way costs. Total project cost is estimated at \$756,000. Acceptance of a federal program supplement for Barrier Rail Replacement Projects will have no fiscal impact until the project is underway.

Full fiscal impact statements will be prepared when agreements for design engineering are brought before the Board for approval in Fiscal Year 2000-01. The request will require no additional staff years.

RECOMMENDATION:**CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:**

Adopt the following Resolutions:

- a) Resolution of the Board of Supervisors Approving Execution of a Program Supplement for Riverside Drive Bridge Seismic Retrofit Project
- b) Resolution of the Board of Supervisors Approving Execution of a Program Supplement for Barrier Rail Replacement Projects for Lilac Road, Willows Road and Riverside Drive Bridges

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent, adopting Resolution No. 00-196, entitled: RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS APPROVING EXECUTION OF A PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT FOR RIVERSIDE DRIVE BRIDGE SEISMIC RETROFIT PROJECT and Resolution No. 00-197, entitled: RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS APPROVING EXECUTION OF A PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT FOR BARRIER RAIL REPLACEMENT PROJECTS.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

21. **SUBJECT: APPROVAL OF AGREEMENT WITH BERRYMAN & HENIGAR, INC. FOR FALLBROOK CREEK FLOOD CONTROL STUDY AND DESIGN**
(Supv. Dist: 5)

OVERVIEW:

Many flood control facilities along Fallbrook Creek are inadequate to handle the 100-year storm. On March 22, 2000 (10) the Board approved the Fallbrook Revitalization Plan, which included conceptual recommendations for flood control improvements along Fallbrook Creek drainage basin. The plan also recommended the Department of Public Works undertake a comprehensive analysis of drainage issues. The Fallbrook Creek flood control study will analyze and evaluate the feasibility of implementing recommendations of the plan as well as identify other projects to improve flood control. Following staff review and community deliberation, the most effective and cost efficient alternatives will be selected for preparation of construction plans. Upon completion, the project will benefit the community by improving drainage and safety along Fallbrook Creek.

Public agencies in California must use a Qualifications Based Selection method to contract for consultant services. This method requires such services be engaged on the basis of demonstrated competence and qualifications for the types of services to be performed and at a fair and reasonable cost. Board Policy F-40 (Procuring Architectural, Engineering and Related Professional Services) describes the County's method for Qualifications Based Selection. In accordance with provisions of the policy, three firms from the Joint County/City of San Diego list for Water/Wastewater Facilities were interviewed for the project. The project includes a detailed feasibility study followed by engineering design and will result in final project plans. The firm of Berryman & Henigar, Inc. of San Diego was selected for this project as the most qualified firm to perform required services.

This is a request to approve an agreement with Berryman & Henigar, Inc. for the study and design of flood control improvements in Fallbrook for compensation not to exceed \$150,000. Agreement will terminate on June 30, 2003 or when services no longer required, whichever shall occur first, with option to extend the termination date, if construction support services are elected by the County.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds for this proposal are budgeted in the Department of Public Works General Fund Activities Program. Funding source is Department of Public Works General Fund. If approved, this request will result in current year cost of \$150,000, no annual cost and will require no additional staff years.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Find pursuant to Section 15061(b)(3) of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) it can be seen with certainty there is no possibility the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment.

2. Approve and authorize the Clerk of the Board to execute, upon receipt, an agreement with Berryman & Henigar, Inc. for Fallbrook Creek flood control study and design for compensation not to exceed \$150,000.
3. Designate the Director, Department of Public Works, as County Officer responsible for administering the agreement.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

22. **SUBJECT: APPLICATIONS FOR FEDERAL AIRPORT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM GRANT AND STATE AIRPORT IMPROVEMENT MATCHING GRANT FOR GILLESPIE FIELD APPROACH EQUIPMENT**
(Supv. Dist: 2)

OVERVIEW:

Staff has proposed new landing equipment for Gillespie Field. In April 1998, Flight Safety Institute reviewed prior studies and technical assessments of a proposed new landing system and found that Localizer Directional Aid and Distance Measuring Equipment instrument approach procedure for Runway 27/R would add value and enhance flight safety at Gillespie Field. The new equipment and procedure would provide lower landing minimums, enabling pilots to utilize the airport when low overcast weather limits visual approach.

The requested action will result in submittal of applications in the amount of \$450,000 from the Federal Aviation Administration Airport Improvement Program and \$22,500 from the State Airport Improvement Matching Grant Program. The County's Airport Enterprise Fund would fund the remaining required match of \$27,500. Purchase and installation of the new equipment will total approximately \$500,000.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The recommended actions have no fiscal impact. There are no direct costs associated with the request to submit grant applications. If grant offers are received from the Federal Aviation Administration, the Department of Public Works will return to the Board to request approval to accept the grants and establish appropriations.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Find in accordance with Article 5, Section 15061 (b)(1), of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines that the grant application is not subject to the environmental review process because it is not a project as defined by Section 15378.

2. Adopt a Resolution entitled Resolution Approving Applications and Grant Agreements for \$472,500 for Federal and State Funding at Gillespie Field for Purchase and Installation of a Localizer Directional Aid and Distance Measuring Equipment for runway 27/R.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Jacob, seconded by Supervisor Slater, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, adopting Resolution No. 00-198, entitled: RESOLUTION APPROVING APPLICATIONS AND GRANT AGREEMENTS FOR \$472,500 FOR FEDERAL AND STATE FUNDING AT GILLESPIE FIELD FOR PURCHASE AND INSTALLATION OF A LOCALIZER DIRECTIONAL AID AND DISTANCE MEASURING EQUIPMENT FOR RUNWAY 27/R; directed the Chief Administrative Officer to extend the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review period for 45 days.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

23. **SUBJECT: ADVERTISE AND AWARD A CONTRACT FOR SLURRY SEAL AT BORREGO VALLEY AIRPORT**
(Supv. Dist: 2)

OVERVIEW:

A slurry seal project is necessary to upgrade and maintain the integrity of paved areas at Borrego Valley Airport, and to avoid the need for more expensive repairs. The proposed slurry seal will surface the runway, taxiways, and apron pavements at Borrego Valley Airport. The contract also provides for repainting of all runway, taxiways, and apron striping and markings covered by slurry seal.

Upon Board approval, a construction contract will be advertised and awarded to the lowest responsible bidder for slurry seal at Borrego Valley Airport.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds for this proposal are budgeted in Airport Enterprise Fund. Funding sources are Federal Aviation Association Airports Improvement Program (\$320,000), California Aid to Airports Program (\$16,000) and Airport Enterprise Fund (\$20,000). If approved, this request will result in \$356,000 (contract plus contingency) current year cost; no annual cost, and will require no additional staff years.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Find in accordance with section 15301 of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines that this project is categorically exempt from provisions of the Guidelines since it consists of repair and maintenance of an existing facility, with no increase in capacity.

2. Authorize the Deputy Director, Purchasing and Contracting Division of General Services, to take any action authorized by Section 398.17.3(a) of the Administrative Code with respect to contracting for the subject public works project.
3. Designate the Director, Department of Public Works, as County Officer responsible for administering the contract.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

24. **SUBJECT: FALLBROOK COMMUNITY AIRPARK – AVIATION ENTERPRISES, LLC – NEW AVIATION LEASE**
(Supv. Dist: 5)

OVERVIEW:

Proposed new aviation ground lease with Aviation Enterprises, LLC will allow development of five aircraft storage hangars on a one-acre parcel at Fallbrook Community Airpark. The proposed lease has a commencement date of July 1, 2000 and an expiration date of June 30, 2030. All improvements will revert to the County at lease expiration or termination. The Lessee plans to invest a minimum of \$150,000 for site improvements.

The Board is requested to approve the new ground lease with Aviation Enterprises, LLC.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funding source is the Airport Enterprise Fund. If approved, this request will result in additional revenue during Fiscal Year 2000-01 of approximately \$3,600 (twelve months), and \$3,708 (adjusted for cost of living) during Fiscal Year 2001-02. There will be no annual cost or additional staff years required.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Find in accordance with Section 15061(b)(3) of CEQA Guidelines, that the proposed new lease is categorically exempt from guideline provisions because it can be seen with certainty it involves negligible expansion of use beyond previously existing use and will not have a significant effect on the environment.
2. Approve and authorize the Clerk of the Board upon receipt to execute three copies of the lease with Aviation Enterprises, LLC for 30 years at a base rent of \$300 per month, with annual cost of living adjustments tied to the Consumer Price Index, not to exceed 6%.
(4 VOTES).

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the item was withdrawn on Consent, at the request of the Chief Administrative Officer.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

25. **SUBJECT: AGREEMENT WITH OVERFLOW CONSULTANT FIRM TO PROVIDE PLANNING AND PERMIT PROCESSING SERVICES ON AN AS-NEEDED BASIS**
(Supv. Dist: All)

OVERVIEW:

The Department of Planning and Land Use has established an “As-Needed” contract to assist the Department with planning and permit processing services for privately initiated discretionary land use applications. The original concept was to utilize this contract during seasonal and other fluctuations in the land use application workload. As a result of an increase in staff vacancies and an increase in real estate development activity, the Department of Planning and Land Use has relied much more heavily on this contract than originally anticipated. As a result, the originally contracted amount is insufficient for the contract period. The action before the Board today is to approve an increase in the contract value for this contract and waive the countywide announcement requirement for major projects pursuant to Board Policy F-40, Procuring Architectural, Engineering and Related Professional Services.

The Department of Planning and Land Use selected the consultant firm of Robert Bein, William Frost & Associates to perform these services. The consultant was selected in accordance with provisions of Board Policy F-40, Procuring Architectural, Engineering and Related Professional Services. Public Agencies in California must use a Qualifications Based Selection method to contract for consultant services. This method requires such services be engaged on the basis of demonstrated competence and qualifications for the types of services to be performed and at a fair and reasonable cost. Board Policy F-40 describes the County’s method for Qualifications Based Selection. Three firms were interviewed from the joint city/county list. Robert Bein, William Frost & Associates was selected because their qualifications most closely matched the project needs.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds for this request are budgeted in services and supplies. If approved, this request will result in \$30,000 in additional consultant cost in the current year and \$160,000 in FY 00-01, and the addition of 0.0 staff years. The funding source is private developer permit and land use fees and deposits.

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT:

Approval of this request will facilitate the timely processing of applicant land use permits, thus preventing delays to the applicant’s project.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Waive the countywide announcement for major projects as required by Board of Supervisors Policy F-40, Procuring Architectural, Engineering and Related Professional Services.
2. Approve and authorize the Deputy Director, Purchasing and Contracting Division of the Department of General Services to execute an amendment to Contract No. 44274 with Robert Bein, William Frost & Associates, for additional planning and permit processing services on an as-needed basis for an amount not to exceed \$280,000 through FY 00-01. (4 VOTES)
3. Designate the Director of Planning and Land Use as the County Officer responsible for administering the contract.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

26. **SUBJECT: AMENDMENTS TO THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE; POD 99-03, POD 99-04**
(Supv. Dist: All)

OVERVIEW:

This action is composed of two parts, amendments to various sections of the Subdivision Ordinance and an amendment to the San Diego Standard Conditions for Tentative Subdivision Maps. POD 99-03 would increase the allowed filing time for Tentative Map and Tentative Parcel Map Time Extensions prior to the expiration of the map from the current 60 days to 180 days and would make other technical changes to the Subdivision Ordinance, such as the change of the appeal board for the denial of Tentative Parcel Map Time Extensions from the Planning and Environmental Review Board to the Board of Supervisors, in order to gain conformance with State law. POD 99-04 amends Condition 20 of the County's Tentative Map Standard Conditions to reflect a transfer of responsibility from the Equal Opportunity Management Office to Housing and Community Development regarding Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plans.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The recommended action has no fiscal impact.

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT:

The recommended action would result in a greater flexibility and efficiency in the process of obtaining a Time Extension for Tentative Maps and Tentative Parcel Maps and would further waive security for estimated taxes for parcel maps of four or less parcels and adjustment plats, and could, therefore, result in a saving to applicants.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Find that the proposed amendments are Categorical Exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment.
2. Read title, waive further reading and introduce Ordinance for further consideration on June 21, 2000.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY CODE, RELATING TO PROCEDURES FOR MISCELLANEOUS SUBDIVISION MAP APPLICATIONS;
and

3. Adopt the Resolution amending the Standard Conditions for Tentative Subdivision Maps.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent, adopting Resolution No. 00-199, entitled: RESOLUTION OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ADOPTING AN AMENDMENT TO THE STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR TENTATIVE SUBDIVISION MAPS, and introduced Ordinance for further Board consideration on June 21, 2000.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

27. **SUBJECT: PROPERTY TAX EXCHANGE FOR JURISDICTIONAL CHANGES:
BARRATT AMERICAN, INC. REORGANIZATION (LAFCO REF.
NO. RO 00-01)
(Supv. Dist: 3)**

OVERVIEW:

Section 99 of the Revenue and Taxation Code requires that the Board of Supervisors adopt a property tax exchange before the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo) can process a proposal for jurisdictional change.

Proposed is a reorganization involving the detachment of approximately 5.6 acres from the San Dieguito Water District with concurrent annexation to the Olivenhain Municipal Water District.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The action will have no fiscal impact on the County.

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT:

Not applicable.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

Adopt the resolution regarding negotiated property tax exchange relative to jurisdictional changes.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent, adopting Resolution No. 00-200, entitled: RESOLUTION REGARDING NEGOTIATED PROPERTY TAX EXCHANGE RELATIVE TO JURISDICTIONAL CHANGES.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

28. **SUBJECT: WORKSPACE IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH**
(Supv. Dist: 1,4,5)

OVERVIEW:

As part of its draft five-year Financial Plan, the Department of Environmental Health seeks to upgrade the workstations at its San Marcos and Ruffin Road locations. These workstations contain substandard furniture that has continued beyond the normal life cycle and is continually breaking, posing a safety hazard. Additionally, the Department of Environmental Health wishes to replace chairs at its Mills Building location, some of which are over ten years old. These workspace improvements will reduce the risk of injuries, improve morale and customer service by providing employees with safe, modern and efficient workstations more conducive to serving customers.

This letter requests authorization to transfer \$405,000 from the Department of Environmental Health's Management Reserves to Services and Supplies for the purpose of funding the required workspace improvements and purchasing new modular furniture. The Department of Environmental Health plans to procure the workspace improvements and modular furniture through the County's competitively bid contract with BKM Total Office of California. This project advances the Chief Administrative Officer goals of focusing on employees, repairing the County infrastructure and emphasizing customer service.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds for this request are available in the Department of Environmental Health's Management Reserves account. If approved, this request will result in \$405,000 in current year net General Fund costs. This action will require the addition of no staff years.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Transfer appropriations of \$405,000 within the Department of Environmental Health, from Management Reserves—Retirement System Savings (account 6405) to Minor Equipment (account 2348), for the purpose of funding workspace improvements and purchasing modular furniture.
2. Waive Board Policy A-91, Mid-Year Budget Changes.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

29. **SUBJECT: USE AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO FOR HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE DISPOSAL**
(Supv. Dist: All)

OVERVIEW:

The Department of Environmental Health seeks authorization to enter into a use agreement with the City of San Diego to provide unincorporated area residents the opportunity to dispose of their household hazardous waste at the City's Permanent Household Hazardous Waste Collection Facility at the Miramar Landfill. This agreement represents a new approach to handling household hazardous waste more efficiently and at a lower cost by entering into a partnership with the City of San Diego. Through this agreement, residents in the unincorporated area will be served continuously throughout the year, allowing for the proper disposal in excess of 126,000 pounds of household hazardous waste annually.

FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no fiscal impact in the current year. Funds for this request are budgeted in the Department of Environmental Health for FY00-01. The funding source is the Non-Exclusive Solid Waste Management Agreement Fee. If approved, this request will result in estimated costs of \$200,000 for FY00-01, and \$200,000 for each subsequent year. This request will require the addition of 0 staff years.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. In accordance with section 15061(b)(3) of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines, find that it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility this project may have a significant effect on the environment and that it is therefore not subject to CEQA.

2. Authorize the Director of the Department of Environmental Health or his designee to enter into an agreement with the City of San Diego to allow unincorporated area residents the use of the Permanent Household Hazardous Waste Collection Facility located in that city, and to reimburse the City of San Diego for costs associated with this use. The contract period shall be from July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001, with options to renew for four additional one-year periods. The amount of the contract shall not exceed \$200,000 for the current fiscal year and \$200,000 for each subsequent fiscal year.
3. Authorize the Director of Environmental Health or designee to execute any amendments, extensions and/or revisions thereof, that do not materially impact or alter either the program or funding level, and to exercise options to renew the agreement for subsequent years.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

30. **SUBJECT: APPROVAL OF RESOLUTIONS AUTHORIZING SUBMITTAL OF GRANT APPLICATIONS UNDER THE NONPOINT SOURCE IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM AND THE LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM**
(Supv. Dist: All)

OVERVIEW:

Nonpoint Source Implementation Program

The State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), Regional Water Quality Control Boards (RWQCBs), and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 9 (USEPA) are requesting proposals for Nonpoint Source (NPS) implementation projects to reduce, eliminate, or prevent water pollution and to enhance water quality. Funds are authorized under the Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 319(h) NPS Implementation Programs. This recommended action seeks approval to submit a grant application in the amount of \$350,000 for the Project Stewardship: Lusardi Creek Preserve Project.

Land and Water Conservation Fund Program

This is a federal grant program that provides competitive grants to federal agencies, and to the 50 states and six territories. Funds allocated to the states may be used for statewide planning, and for acquiring and developing outdoor recreation areas and facilities. This recommended action will authorize the Department of Parks and Recreation to apply for grant funds in the amount of \$450,000 for 1) Otay Lakes Park Phase II Improvements (\$100,000), 2) Dos Picos Park Picnic Area Improvements (\$60,000), 3) Heritage Park Bandstand (\$100,000), 4) Felicita Park Picnic Area Improvements (\$100,000), and 5) Fallbrook Community Center Playground Upgrades (\$90,000).

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds for this request are not budgeted. The funding sources are the Nonpoint Source Implementation and Land and Water Conservation Fund grant programs. If approved, this request will result in \$0 current year cost, \$0 annual cost, and will require the addition of no staff years. If grant funds are awarded, the projects will be brought back to the Board for appropriation of awarded grant funds and related matching funds. There will be no additional cost to the General Fund resulting from these actions.

RECOMMENDATION:**CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:**

1. Find in accordance with Sections 15301(i) and 15304 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines that the Project Stewardship: Lusardi Creek Preserve project is exempt from CEQA.
2. Find in accordance with Section 15301 of the CEQA Guidelines that the Dos Picos Park Picnic Area Improvements project is exempt from CEQA.
3. Find in accordance with Section 15303 of the CEQA Guidelines that the Heritage Park Bandstand project is exempt from CEQA.
4. Find in accordance with Section 15301 of the CEQA Guidelines that the Felicita Park Picnic Area Improvements project is exempt from CEQA.
5. Find in accordance with Sections 15301 and 15302 of the CEQA Guidelines that the Fallbrook Community Center Playground Upgrades project is exempt from CEQA.
6. Adopt the Resolution of the San Diego County Board of Supervisors Approving the Application for Grant Funds from the Nonpoint Source Implementation Program for the Project Stewardship: Lusardi Creek Preserve Project; and appointing the Director of the Department of Parks and Recreation as agent of the County of San Diego to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents which may be necessary for the completion of the aforementioned project.
7. Adopt the Resolution of the San Diego County Board of Supervisors Approving the Applications for Land and Water Conservation Funds for Otay Lakes Park Phase II Improvements, Dos Picos Park Picnic Area Improvements, Heritage Park Bandstand, Felicita Park Picnic Area Improvements, and Fallbrook Community Center Playground Upgrades Projects; and appointing the Director of the Department of Parks and Recreation as agent of the County of San Diego to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents which may be necessary for the completion of the aforementioned projects.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent, adopting Resolution No. 00-201, entitled: RESOLUTION OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS APPROVING THE APPLICATION FOR GRANT FUNDS FROM THE NONPOINT SOURCE

IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM FOR THE PROJECT STEWARDSHIP: LUSARDI CREEK PRESERVE PROJECT, and Resolution No. 00-202, entitled: RESOLUTION OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS APPROVING THE APPLICATIONS FOR LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUNDS FOR OTAY LAKES PARK PHASE II IMPROVEMENTS, DOS PICOS PARK PICNIC AREA IMPROVEMENTS, HERITAGE PARK BANDSTAND, FELICITA PARK PICNIC AREA IMPROVEMENTS, AND FALLBROOK COMMUNITY CENTER PLAYGROUND UPGRADES PROJECTS.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

31. **SUBJECT: ESTABLISHMENT OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE DESIGN AND PREPARATION OF CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE LAKESIDE TEEN CENTER**
(Supv. Dist: 2)

OVERVIEW:

On May 4, 1999(2) the Board of Supervisors approved the Fiscal Year 1999-2000 Community Development Block Grant/HOME Investment Partnerships/Emergency Shelter Grant Annual Funding Plan, which included \$140,000 for the Lakeside Teen Center construction project. Since that time, there have been several community meetings held, including Lakeside Revitalization committee meetings, to determine the site location for the teen center. After appropriate community review, the site location within Lindo Lake County Park has now been determined.

The original project description included a modular building, however, the Lakeside Design Review Board unanimously recommended modifying the project scope from a modular structure to a permanent, conventionally constructed building. This action will approve the appropriation of \$50,000 of the awarded funds into the Capital Outlay Fund to provide for materials testing, consultant contract administration, and preparation of construction documents for construction of the Lakeside Teen Center. Improvements to be included in the construction documents consist of a 2,000-square foot teen center building, hardscape and landscape improvements consisting of walkways, grading, drainage, irrigation, open lawn area and shrub areas. Construction of the Teen Center will be accomplished as additional funding becomes available.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds for this request are not budgeted in the Capital Outlay Fund. The funding source is Fiscal Year 1999-2000 (25th Year) Community Development Block Grant funds. If approved, this request will result in \$50,000 current year cost, no annual cost, and will require the addition of no staff years. Construction of the Teen Center will be accomplished as additional funding becomes available. There will be no cost to the General Fund resulting from this action.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Find that the project will have no significant impact pursuant to the Environmental Assessment prepared under California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15221 and attached hereto as Exhibit A.
2. Approve and authorize the cancellation of appropriations and related revenue in the amount of \$50,000 from Org. 5252, Account 2340 and Revenue Account 9683 in the Housing and Community Development Special Revenue Fund for the Lakeside Teen Center project.
3. Approve and authorize the establishment of appropriations in the amount of \$50,000 in Capital Project KN0884, Lakeside Teen Center, based on available Fiscal Year 1999-2000 Community Development Block Grant funding. (4 VOTES)

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

32. **SUBJECT: APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO JOINT AGREEMENT TO IMPROVE, RELEASE OF JOINT LIEN CONTRACT, TERMINATION OF JOINT HOLDING AGREEMENT, AND RESOLUTIONS ACCEPTING PREVIOUSLY REJECTED OFFERS OF DEDICATION FOR COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO TRACT NO. 4963-1, FINAL MAP 13735, LOCATED IN THE VALLE DE ORO COMMUNITY PLANNING AREA**
(Supv. Dist: 2)

OVERVIEW:

This is a request to release the Joint Lien Contract and Joint Holding Agreement for a recorded subdivision by replacing them with traditional surety bonds. The amendment also allows a change in ownership for this 15 single-family residential subdivision on 39.15 acres. The project is located in the Valle de Oro area, north of Hidden Mountain Drive. (Thomas Guide, Page 1272,C-1, 2000 Edition).

FISCAL IMPACT:

Not applicable

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Approve the Amendment to Joint Agreement to Improve Subdivision, Release of Joint Lien Contract and Termination of Joint Holding Agreement, authorize execution by the Clerk of the Board and direct the Clerk of the Board to forward the Release of Joint Lien Contract and Termination of Joint Holding Agreement to the County Recorder for recordation and to notify the Holding Company.

2. Adopt the attached “Resolution Accepting Previously Rejected Offer Of Dedication”, accepting the dedication for a portion of Hidden Mountain Drive as offered on Map 13735, and direct the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to record said resolution with the San Diego County Recorder.
3. Adopt the attached “Resolution Accepting Previously Rejected Offer Of Dedication”, accepting the drainage easement as offered for dedication on said Map 13735, and direct the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to record said resolution with the San Diego County Recorder.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent, adopting Resolution No. 00-203, entitled: RESOLUTION ACCEPTING A PREVIOUSLY REJECTED OFFER TO DEDICATE (HIDDEN MOUNTAIN DRIVE) and Resolution No. 00-204, entitled: RESOLUTION ACCEPTING PREVIOUSLY REJECTED OFFER TO DEDICATE (DRAINAGE EASEMENT).

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

33. **SUBJECT: TERMINATION OF AN IRREVOCABLE OFFER OF DEDICATION FOR ST. PETER’S DRIVE (VAC 99-011), FALLBROOK COMMUNITY PLANNING AREA**
(Supv. Dist: 5)

OVERVIEW:

On January 21, 1998 the Planning and Environmental Review Board approved a Major Use Permit (MUP) modification pertaining to St. Peter’s Roman Catholic Church, in the community of Fallbrook. MUP Condition B.2, requires vacation of the existing Irrevocable Offer of Dedication for St. Peter’s Drive.

Government Code Section 7050 states that a road vacation process may be used to terminate an Irrevocable Offer of Dedication. Section 8333 of the Streets and Highways Code sets forth a procedure for the summary vacation of easements which have not been used for the purpose for which they were dedicated or acquired for five consecutive years immediately proceeding the proposed vacation. The Irrevocable Offer of Dedication for St. Peter’s Drive was granted to the County of San Diego in 1987, however, the County has never accepted the offer of dedication and the Irrevocable Offer of Dedication has never been used for street purposes.

This is a request to adopt a Resolution of Summary Vacation to terminate the Irrevocable Offer of Dedication for St. Peter’s Drive. The vacation (termination) would apply to St. Peter’s Drive between Stage Coach Lane (SA 40) and the proposed future extension of Fallbrook Street (SF 1416).

FISCAL IMPACT:

Not Applicable

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT:

Not Applicable

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Find that the Negative Declaration on file in the Department of Planning and Land Use, dated December 11, 1997, has been adopted in compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and State and County CEQA guidelines, that the Board has reviewed and considered the information contained therein, and:

Find that there are no changes in the project or in the circumstances under which it is undertaken which involve significant new environmental impacts which were not considered in the previously adopted Negative Declaration, or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects, and that no new information of substantial importance has become available since said Negative Declaration was prepared.

2. Adopt the Resolution entitled Resolution of Summary Vacation to Terminate an Irrevocable Offer of Dedication for St. Peter's Drive (Attachment A).
3. Direct the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to record this Resolution pursuant to Streets and Highways Code Section 8325.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent, adopting Resolution No. 00-205, entitled: RESOLUTION OF SUMMARY VACATION TO TERMINATE AN IRREVOCABLE OFFER OF DEDICATION FOR ST. PETER'S DRIVE.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

34. **SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE ITEM:**
APPROVAL OF A FINAL MAP AND SECURED AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC AND PRIVATE IMPROVEMENTS FOR COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO TRACT NO. 4784-1, LOCATED IN THE FALLBROOK COMMUNITY PLAN AREA
(Supv. Dist: 5)

OVERVIEW:

This project is a subdivision consisting of 18 single-family residential lots, and a total acreage of 11.21 acres. It is located in the Fallbrook area, at the intersection of Hillcrest Lane and Hillcrest Terrace. (Thomas Guide, Page 1027 H-1, 2000 Edition)

The project is being brought before the Board for approval of the final map and the secured agreement for the public and private improvements.

FISCAL IMPACT:

This request will have no fiscal impact.

RECOMMENDATION:**CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:**

1. Approve this map and accept on behalf of the public, subject to improvements, Hillcrest Lane for use as a street as dedicated on said map.
2. Accept the access rights from Lots 1 and 18 in and to Hillcrest Lane, as relinquished and waived on said map.
3. Approve and authorize the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute the Agreement to Improve Major Subdivision, which includes the street improvements and drainage facilities, sewer facilities, water facilities, setting of final monuments, and park land fees.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

35. **SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE ITEM:**
APPROVAL OF A FINAL MAP AND SECURED AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC AND PRIVATE IMPROVEMENTS FOR COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO TRACT NO. 5150-1, LOCATED IN VALLEY CENTER PLANNING AREA
(Supv. Dist: 5)

OVERVIEW:

This project is a subdivision consisting of 8 single-family residential lots, and a total acreage of 17.41 acres. It is located in the Valley Center area, on Rivoli Road, south of West Oak Glen Road. (Thomas Guide, Page 1070, E-2, 2000 Edition)

The project is being brought before the Board for approval of the final map and the secured agreement for the public and private improvements.

FISCAL IMPACT:

This request will have no fiscal impact.

RECOMMENDATION:**CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:**

1. Approve this map.
2. Approve and authorize the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute the Joint Agreement to Improve Major Subdivision, which includes the street improvements and drainage facilities, water facilities, and setting of final monuments.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

36. **SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE ITEM:**
APPROVAL OF A FINAL MAP AND SECURED AGREEMENTS FOR
PUBLIC AND PRIVATE IMPROVEMENTS FOR COUNTY OF SAN
DIEGO TRACT NO. 5123-1, LOCATED IN THE SAN DIEGUITO
COMMUNITY PLANNING AREA
(Supv. Dist: 3)

OVERVIEW:

This project is a subdivision consisting of 63 single-family residential lots, and a total acreage of 63.59 acres within the Santa Fe Valley Specific Plan area. It is located in the Rancho Bernardo area east of Four Gee Road approximately 500' north of Camino del Norte. (Thomas Guide, Page 1169, E-2, 2000 Edition).

This project is being brought before the Board for approval of the final map and the secured agreements for the public and private improvements.

FISCAL IMPACT:

This request will have no fiscal impact.

RECOMMENDATION:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

1. Approve this map and accept on behalf of the public, subject to improvements, Campania Avenue and Four Gee Road for use as streets as dedicated on said map.
2. Accept the access rights from lots 2, 3, 4, 30, 31, through 35 and from the portion of lots 30 and 36 reserved for future street in and to Campania Avenue and the access rights from lots 4, 5, 6, 7, 49, 50, 51, 57, 58 and 59 in and to Four Gee Road as, relinquished on said map.
3. Accept the easement for pedestrian and equestrian trail purposes, the drainage easements and the access restriction easement, all as dedicated or granted on said map.
4. Reject on behalf of the public the portions of lots 30 and 36 reserved for future street as offered for dedication on said map.
5. Vacate on behalf of the County the portion of the open space easement granted on Parcel Map 18105, which lies within the boundary of this map.
6. Approve and authorize the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute the Joint Agreement to Improve Major Subdivision (Onsite Only) which includes the street improvements and drainage facilities, sewer facilities and setting of final monuments.

7. Approve and authorize the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute the Agreement to Improve Major Subdivision (CG 4093 – Offsite) which includes the street improvements and drainage facilities and water.
8. Approve and authorize the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute the Agreement to Improve Major Subdivision (CG 4122 – Offsite) which includes the street improvements and drainage facilities and sewer .
9. Approve and authorize the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute the agreement for reimbursement of a portion of the costs of constructing Camino del Norte improvements.
10. Authorize the Chairman to execute, and the Clerk of the Board to acknowledge, the Joint Use Agreement on the map.

ACTION:

ON MOTION of Supervisor Slater, seconded by Supervisor Cox, the Board of Supervisors took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Cox, Jacob, Slater, Roberts, Horn

There being no further business, the Board adjourned at 9:34 a.m. in memory of Emil L. "Pete" Petrachek and Marvin Brown.

THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
County of San Diego, State of California

Notes by: Andoh

- - -

NOTE: This Statement of Proceedings sets forth all actions taken by the Board of Supervisors on the matters stated, but not necessarily the chronological sequence in which the matters were taken up.